



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,916	05/19/2006	Judith Bramel Deely	PB60596USw	8981
23347	7590	05/14/2007	EXAMINER	
GLAXOSMITHKLINE			KIM, JENNIFER M	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			1617	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/595,916	DEELY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jennifer Kim	1617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 5-10 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 5-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/19/2006</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## DETAILED ACTION

### Claims 5-10 are presented for Examination.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jerussi et al. (WO 00/51546) of record in view of Morgan et al. (US 6,274,579 B1) of record.

Jerussi et al. teach that bupropion metabolites are useful for the treatment of anxiety disorder, (abstract, page 2, scheme 2, page 7, lines 7-10). Jerussi et al. teach that bupropion metabolites are often referred to as “hydroxybupropion” that has two chiral carbon atoms and exist as two pairs of enantiomer as shown in scheme 2. (page 2, line 9-23, claims). The scheme shows Applicants active agent (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol set forth in claims 5-10. Jerussi et al. teaches that the bupropion metabolites can be administered to a patient in an optically pure (S,S)-hydroxybupropion. (claims). Jerussi et al. do not illustrate the administration of the specific bupropion, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol for the treatment of anxiety disorder.

Morgan et al. teach that the activity of bupropion resides with its (+) enantiomer metabolite, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol. Morgan et al. teach that the (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol is formed from hydroxylation of the tert-butyl group of bupropion. (column 1 , lines 64-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the specific bupropion, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol for the treatment of anxiety disorder because Jerussi et al. teach that the metabolite of

Art Unit: 1617

bupropion particularly, (S,S) hydroxybupropion is effective for the treatment of anxiety disorder and because the (+) enantiomer metabolite of bupropion, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol is a hydroxybupropion possessing the activity among the metabolites and it is formed from hydroxylation of the bupropion. One would have been motivated to select the most active metabolite bupropion, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol for the treatment of anxiety disorder in order to achieve an effective therapeutic benefit by administering the most active metabolite obtained by hydroxylation of bupropion. There would have been a reasonable expectation of successfully treating anxiety disorder in human with (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol because the effectiveness of hydroxybupropion metabolites in treatment of anxiety disorders is well known by Jerussi et al. and because (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol is most active hydroxybupropion as reported by Morgan et al.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al. (US 2003/0064988A1) of record.

Morgan et al. teaches the compound, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol and pharmaceutically acceptable salts and solvates thereof, pharmaceutical compositions comprising them are useful for the treatment of anxiety. (abstract, [0019]. Morgan et al. teaches the composition can be formulated with an optically pure form of the compound or the salts and solvates thereof. ([0002]).

Art Unit: 1617

Morgan et al. do not illustrate the administration of the compound for the treatment of anxiety disorder.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol for the treatment of anxiety disorder because Morgan et al. teach that the compound is useful and effective for the treatment of anxiety condition. One would have been motivated to make such modification in order to achieve an expected benefit of treatment of anxiety disorder, generally taught by Morgan et al.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al. (US 2006/0189612A1).

Morgan et al. teaches the compound, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol and pharmaceutically acceptable salts and solvates thereof, pharmaceutical compositions comprising them are useful for the treatment of anxiety. (abstract, [0019]. Morgan et al. teaches the composition can be formulated with an optically pure form of the compound or the salts and solvates thereof. ([0002]).

Morgan et al. do not illustrate the administration of the compound for the treatment of anxiety disorder.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol for the treatment of anxiety disorder because Morgan et al. teach that the compound is useful and effective for the treatment of anxiety condition. One would have been motivated to make such

modification in order to achieve an expected benefit of treatment of anxiety disorder, generally taught by Morgan et al.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ascher et al. (US 2003/0032643A1).

Ascher et al. teaches the compound, (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol and pharmaceutically acceptable salts and solvates thereof, pharmaceutical compositions comprising them are useful for the treatment of anxiety. (abstract, [0020]. Ascher et al. teaches the composition can be formulated with an optically pure form of the compound or the salts and solvates thereof. ([0002]).

Ascher et al. do not illustrate the administration of the compound for the treatment of anxiety disorder.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the (+)-(2S,3S)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-3,5,5-trimethyl-2-morpholinol for the treatment of anxiety disorder because Ascher et al. teach that the compound is useful and effective for the treatment of anxiety condition. One would have been motivated to make such modification in order to achieve an expected benefit of treatment of anxiety disorder, generally taught by Ascher et al.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1617



Jennifer Kim  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 1617

Jmk  
May 8, 2007